




This petition has collected
222 signatures
using the online tools at iPetitions.com

Printed on 2016-04-05



Signatures

1. Name: John Lynch on 2016-02-25 23:12:50
Comments: Please sign this or even better contact the County planner listed at the bottom of the petition.

2. Name: Stephen Brumder on 2016-02-26 00:06:01
Comments:

3. Name: Rob Basile on 2016-02-26 00:50:40
Comments: We don't need more development! We need more open space for our children and community in general!

4. Name: Noah Petres on 2016-02-26 01:00:35
Comments:

5. Name: James Knight on 2016-02-26 01:29:36
Comments: I moved to this neighborhood for Millard Canyon. Please don't approve any further development.

6. Name: Michiko Lynch on 2016-02-26 02:03:50
Comments:

7. Name: Allison gamero on 2016-02-26 06:12:56
Comments: Let the people have their canyon!

8. Name: Kelly Perry on 2016-02-26 06:28:37
Comments:

9. Name: Chris Snyder on 2016-02-27 05:39:56
Comments:

10. Name: Kara Bjornlie on 2016-02-27 05:47:48
Comments: Enough is enough. There are plenty of fine homes already. Keep Millard wild. Respect nature and keep the peace of the neighborhood.

11. Name: Michael Hickman on 2016-02-27 06:17:25
Comments: The proposed project violates Altadena Community Standards, Hillside Management, and Oak tree Protection Statutes! What does it take to STOP this type of unwanted, out of place development in this area?

12. Name: Michael Long on 2016-02-27 07:00:45
Comments: The remaining open space habitat in Millard Canyon needs to be preserved to maintain functioning corridors and this oak woodland ecosystem.

-
13. Name: Jane Szabo on 2016-02-27 07:50:01
Comments:
-
14. Name: Paul Hayea on 2016-02-27 07:53:06
Comments:
-
15. Name: Jennifer Lopez on 2016-02-27 09:04:31
Comments:
-
16. Name: Evan Mather on 2016-02-27 13:34:01
Comments:
-
17. Name: Najila Woods on 2016-02-27 13:54:44
Comments: Save Milla rd Canyon. Developers Keep Out.
-
18. Name: Gregory Han on 2016-02-27 14:01:13
Comments:
-
19. Name: Joanie Ledger-Donnelly on 2016-02-27 15:40:00
Comments: Stop construction at all costs! It is necessary for the human spirit to have natural and unspoiled land especially where the human population continually increases.
-
20. Name: Michael Carrier on 2016-02-27 15:50:53
Comments:
-
21. Name: Melissa Horvath on 2016-02-27 15:55:32
Comments: I grew up hiking this canyon. It's a magical place and should remain as it is- not be destroyed to build some jerk's ugly home.
-
22. Name: Diana Athey on 2016-02-27 16:18:28
Comments:
-
23. Name: Leigh Hebert on 2016-02-27 16:31:24
Comments:
-
24. Name: Stephanie Bower on 2016-02-27 16:47:58
Comments:
-
25. Name: P Gladden on 2016-02-27 17:28:39
Comments:
-

26. Name: Nancy Busacca on 2016-02-27 17:31:31
Comments: Such egotism! Both the architect and the "owners"! Ugh!
-
27. Name: kristen urlacher on 2016-02-27 17:39:28
Comments: I spend lots of time down in Millard Canyon. It is a beautiful forest sanctuary tucked away from the Urban Sprawl. Its disgusting to me that people don't see the importance of leaving certain areas of this city raw and undeveloped.
These people need to take their opportunist ways elsewhere.
-
28. Name: Kristina Marrin on 2016-02-27 17:45:56
Comments: I oppose the construction, it goes against everything the neighborhood stands for. Not making intrusive noise is already a mandate, please stop this intrusive construction (and structure). Thank you. Kristina Marrin
-
29. Name: Sheila Bjornlie on 2016-02-27 18:16:34
Comments: This proposed development is not appropriate for this locaton
-
30. Name: Timothy Arthur Brennan on 2016-02-27 18:46:30
Comments:
-
31. Name: Robert P Thurstans on 2016-02-27 19:08:41
Comments: Building such a house will have long term, severe access impact into the Meadows which has no alternate access in an emergency. There are already completed houses in the Meadows area that are for sale. Even a remodel/rebuild of one of these would have less of an impact on the area
-
32. Name: Marie wong on 2016-02-27 20:03:56
Comments: Stop this development and save the canyon
-
33. Name: Terry M Sieber on 2016-02-27 20:08:33
Comments: The area is losing too many areas to developers. There needs to be areas where nature survives.
-
34. Name: Angela Guidi on 2016-02-27 20:37:03
Comments: The proposed development is completely insensitive to this valued open space area, and opposed by the local community.
-
35. Name: Vicki Redman on 2016-02-27 20:44:05
Comments:
-
36. Name: Mendy Fry on 2016-02-27 21:15:02
Comments: This development is inappropriate for this location.
-

37. Name: Steve Hofvendahl on 2016-02-27 21:49:50
Comments:
-
38. Name: Therese Brummel on 2016-02-27 22:06:32
Comments:
-
39. Name: Michelle Tritten on 2016-02-27 22:09:15
Comments:
-
40. Name: Peter Serdienis on 2016-02-27 22:11:15
Comments:
-
41. Name: Stuart Bjornlie on 2016-02-27 22:43:52
Comments: This project will change the landscape and neighborhood, in a negative way forever.
-
42. Name: Allison Chatman on 2016-02-27 22:45:35
Comments: Please prevent one family from destroying the beauty intended to be protected and shared by all. Money does not equal taste or good judgement and should not factor in to making this decision that would greatly disturb this environment and existing laws.
-
43. Name: tonya bray on 2016-02-27 23:08:02
Comments:
-
44. Name: Shari Asplund on 2016-02-27 23:08:18
Comments:
-
45. Name: Erik Hillard on 2016-02-28 00:45:18
Comments: This lot is extremely steep and contains many old growth Oak trees. Please keep it native.
-
46. Name: Dena Bjornlie on 2016-02-28 01:06:31
Comments:
-
47. Name: Betsy Kenyon on 2016-02-28 01:38:02
Comments:
-
48. Name: joan Kaplan on 2016-02-28 05:48:19
Comments:
-
49. Name: Atsuko Pierce on 2016-02-28 15:12:33
Comments:

-
50. Name: Lisa Heidel on 2016-02-28 17:01:34
Comments:
-
51. Name: Dennis Bridwell on 2016-02-28 18:05:13
Comments: I am greatly concerned that this construction would cause a safety problem for the entire Meadows neighborhood of 240 homes. There is no room to stage equipment, dumpsters, vehicles, workers, etc. on that very narrow blind curved road without creating safety problems for us living in the Meadows. Please don't put the Meadows residents in harms way, we only have one way in and out. This development would put us in great jeopardy.
-
52. Name: Patrick Lynch on 2016-02-28 19:52:30
Comments: Impossible to believe that the zoning commission would let an ultra modern four level home be built blocking views and invading privacy and being out of keeping with the area.
-
53. Name: Charles Ian White on 2016-02-28 20:51:08
Comments:
-
54. Name: Dorothy Lynch on 2016-02-28 21:00:05
Comments: Proposed home would be overpowering to the whole neighborhood and construction blocking street would be untenable.
-
55. Name: Rosa Leon on 2016-02-28 22:40:11
Comments:
-
56. Name: Leigh Adams on 2016-02-28 23:49:40
Comments: A four level home has priority over native oaks and wildlife.....that is non-sensical, absolutely untenable and just incredibly short sighted.
-
57. Name: Robyn Paul on 2016-02-29 00:47:19
Comments:
-
58. Name: Roger Klemm on 2016-02-29 02:49:46
Comments: Develop on a 50% slope? They have to be crazy! Lower Millard Canyon needs to be protected as open space, "not" developed!
-
59. Name: Laura Voisin George on 2016-02-29 03:26:33
Comments:
-
60. Name: Marco Barrantes on 2016-02-29 07:03:21
Comments: Stop this development please.

-
61. Name: Karen Lynch on 2016-02-29 14:01:51
Comments: Karen Lynch
-
62. Name: Brenda Wadsworth on 2016-02-29 15:25:29
Comments:
-
63. Name: Suzanne Grinnan on 2016-02-29 17:22:14
Comments:
-
64. Name: Andy De Young on 2016-02-29 17:49:24
Comments:
-
65. Name: Lydia Vilppu on 2016-02-29 21:52:22
Comments:
-
66. Name: Claudia Colodro on 2016-02-29 23:30:06
Comments: What remains of our areas undeveloped and natural land must be a priority when considering further and destructive development. Thank you for considering the strong opposition. Claudia
-
67. Name: Amelia Buhman on 2016-03-01 02:07:49
Comments:
-
68. Name: Jack Neff on 2016-03-01 03:06:45
Comments:
-
69. Name: Julie Rose on 2016-03-01 03:54:43
Comments:
-
70. Name: Chris DeGroof on 2016-03-01 03:56:41
Comments: What an atrocity this is. Lower Millard Canyon is a beautiful riparian habitat to many incredible species of wildlife. Please save this land and leave it untouched.
-
71. Name: Jared McGowan on 2016-03-01 04:15:18
Comments:
-
72. Name: Michael Storc on 2016-03-01 04:15:26
Comments:
-
73. Name: Valerie Camacho on 2016-03-01 04:35:11
Comments:

-
74. Name: Eric Simpson on 2016-03-01 04:44:23
Comments:
-
75. Name: Melinda barrett on 2016-03-01 04:44:46
Comments:
-
76. Name: Michiko Erwin on 2016-03-01 04:47:29
Comments: Lack of process and oversight here is undemocratic and unacceptable.
-
77. Name: Sharon Reeve on 2016-03-01 06:06:54
Comments:
-
78. Name: Sandra Miller on 2016-03-01 06:26:34
Comments:
-
79. Name: Laura Garrett on 2016-03-01 06:27:18
Comments:
-
80. Name: Heather Sabin on 2016-03-01 07:21:46
Comments:
-
81. Name: Scott Babcock on 2016-03-01 07:51:40
Comments:
-
82. Name: Malka Essig on 2016-03-01 07:53:19
Comments:
-
83. Name: Mark Stephens on 2016-03-01 18:26:20
Comments: Preservation of our few remaining wild interfaces benefits our collective citizenry. "The West of which I speak is but another name for the Wild; and what I have been preparing to say is, that in Wildness is the preservation of the world." --Henry D. Thoreau from the essay "Walking"
-
84. Name: Dorothy Wong on 2016-03-01 19:23:05
Comments: Please do not approve this plan and preserve rare open space and wildlife corridors in our region. It is not appropriate to build here.
-
85. Name: Gary Walker on 2016-03-01 19:27:02
Comments:
-
86. Name: Suzannah Ferron on 2016-03-01 19:44:22

Comments: Development in one of the last remaining open spaces in the lower Millard Canyon—a critical watershed and habitat to numerous species already under tremendous stress from climate change and human encroachment—is simply unacceptable. I urge the Altadena town council to prioritize the long-term well-being of the people of Altadena—as well as the wildlife and iconic natural spaces that have made Altadena such an inviting and beautiful place to be—over the personal desires of a couple of individuals. While it is wonderful that these individuals appreciate the beauty of the area—this is made clear on their public relations site—this does not give them or anyone else the right to damage or destroy it. If someone truly appreciated the canyon, he or she would enjoy the natural beauty of the area as it is, which would allow others to do the same. There are plenty of other existing homes with beautiful views of nature; surely these individuals are able to find one.

87. Name: Stephen Yelich on 2016-03-01 21:25:24
Comments:

88. Name: Jay Hinkle on 2016-03-01 21:27:14
Comments:

89. Name: Kevin Boner on 2016-03-01 22:10:12
Comments: Please do not approve this land and keep this area as natural open space.

90. Name: Sharon on 2016-03-01 22:46:34
Comments: Let nature be. Leave nature alone and keep it as God intended it to be. When La Vina development was built, it destroyed the natural mountainside and ruined hiking and horse trails. I have had enough of developers building on our mountainsides and canyons. This is just wrong! I urge you to stop any more development like La Vina or any other developments from happening in Altadena. We don't need this. This would destroy the natural habitat that calls this area home and ruin our precious mountains and canyons.

91. Name: Sharon Ward on 2016-03-01 23:04:51
Comments: Millard Canyon has been a recreation spot for our family for over 40 years. Please leave it as it is.. Thank You

92. Name: Dorothy Noe on 2016-03-01 23:46:58
Comments: Please do not allow more buildings in our last remaining forestland. Thank you

93. Name: Jesse Chang on 2016-03-01 23:47:06
Comments:

94. Name: Mateo Abascal on 2016-03-01 23:50:00
Comments:

95. Name: Christina Van Oosten on 2016-03-01 23:51:07
Comments: I grew up in the Altadena/Pasadena area. As a child I spent much of my time outdoors. I can't imagine our communities being ok with the disregard this project has for native flora and fauna.
-
96. Name: Janice Loggins on 2016-03-01 23:54:34
Comments: Do not develop Millard Canyon. Leave Altadena natural
-
97. Name: Marina Completo on 2016-03-02 00:13:46
Comments: Enough is enough! Developers are over the damm place putting up those unaffordable crackerjack apt. Please leave this little ecosystem alone.
-
98. Name: James Shifflett on 2016-03-02 00:27:29
Comments:
-
99. Name: Jenny Homer on 2016-03-02 00:27:42
Comments:
-
100. Name: Kazi Pitelka on 2016-03-02 00:31:17
Comments: A careful look at the actual site with all the facts at hand, not just the owner's website, will quickly confirm what everyone in this canyon has been saying. This building project would be a nightmare for the neighbors, the environment, the road, and the spirit of this unique neighborhood.
-
101. Name: Leigh Ferguson-Samuel on 2016-03-02 01:06:27
Comments: This is not a project that should be allowed in our neighborhood. The canyon and wildlife and trees should be saved from this type of development.
-
102. Name: Noel Russell on 2016-03-02 01:19:46
Comments:
-
103. Name: Alison Blume on 2016-03-02 01:39:18
Comments:
-
104. Name: Randy Baer on 2016-03-02 02:00:33
Comments: The intent and wording of our Hillside Ordinance is clear and needs to be followed. New construction down into Millard Canyon should not be allowed under any circumstances.
-
105. Name: Chris Rackard on 2016-03-02 02:26:58
Comments:
-
106. Name: Andy Behr on 2016-03-02 02:42:26

Comments: We need to leave this land alone. Enough development has occurred, and severe pressure on watershed systems could be disastrous by the mid century mark. Los Angeles is clearly #1 in the nation regarding stressed water systems. That's not an opinion ... it's a researched fact.

107. Name: Eve Kessler on 2016-03-02 03:07:26
Comments:

108. Name: Jay on 2016-03-02 03:10:36
Comments: Don't you dare!

109. Name: Frank Landis on 2016-03-02 03:15:48
Comments: What's going on with LA County? Don't they read their own regulations?

110. Name: Laura Wheeler on 2016-03-02 04:33:22
Comments: Please don't!

111. Name: Jeanny Boltze on 2016-03-02 04:40:47
Comments: Would hate to lose any more of the unassuming slice of heaven my family has had for over 40 years. The landscape and wildlife has already been greatly disrupted.

112. Name: Melissa on 2016-03-02 04:51:53
Comments:

113. Name: doris coyne on 2016-03-02 05:04:12
Comments:

114. Name: Lisbeth O Poplin on 2016-03-02 05:42:39
Comments: No way!

115. Name: Eryn Stinzel on 2016-03-02 06:17:35
Comments:

116. Name: Manuel Chacon on 2016-03-02 06:29:29
Comments:

117. Name: Edward Drayton on 2016-03-02 07:08:12
Comments: Save Millard Canyon!

118. Name: Marcy Froehlich on 2016-03-02 07:12:29
Comments: Keep our wild spaces wild! The coyotes are more and more coming into the neighborhoods that already exist because they have nowhere else to go!

119. Name: barbara field on 2016-03-02 07:23:45
Comments: There are so many other places to build, and so few wild open spaces left in our neighborhoods, This plan should not be approved. .
-
120. Name: Monica Reyes on 2016-03-02 07:25:25
Comments:
-
121. Name: Mike simpson on 2016-03-02 07:33:43
Comments:
-
122. Name: Nancy Dubuc on 2016-03-02 07:38:05
Comments: There is a critical need for wild space that border urban areas. Families have used Millard Canyon for generation after generation. Please save this area from development.
-
123. Name: Valley Varma on 2016-03-02 07:48:01
Comments:
-
124. Name: Barbara Pachano on 2016-03-02 13:26:29
Comments: Millard Canyon was one of our favorite hiking areas! It would be a crime to disturb it!!
-
125. Name: Mamie Gaede on 2016-03-02 14:39:46
Comments:
-
126. Name: Richard F Rupp on 2016-03-02 15:22:26
Comments:
-
127. Name: Petrea Sandel on 2016-03-02 15:22:32
Comments: Millard Canyon's beauty and history cannot be equaled. It must be protected, not exploited.
-
128. Name: Timothy M Nolan on 2016-03-02 16:20:50
Comments:
-
129. Name: Lynn Backus on 2016-03-02 16:30:07
Comments: It is time to halt further development into the urban/open-space interface. Preserved open spaces benefit all.
-
130. Name: Bruce Moreland on 2016-03-02 16:34:59
Comments: stop ruining the earth
-
131. Name: Patrick Dron on 2016-03-02 16:43:58

Comments:

-
132. Name: Denise Shukha on 2016-03-02 16:44:10
 Comments:
-
133. Name: Lisa Doodakian on 2016-03-02 17:30:30
 Comments:
-
134. Name: Christle Hintz on 2016-03-02 18:09:31
 Comments: There is too much development already going on in the Pasadena/Altadena
 area. Water & infrastructure in general are being impacted. No more. Stop this
 unnecessary development.
 Christle Hintz
-
135. Name: Zach Siruta on 2016-03-02 18:50:32
 Comments: We develop everywhere, let's save this beautiful pristine area for future
 generations.
-
136. Name: Susan Campisi on 2016-03-02 19:36:26
 Comments: Developing on hillsides poses a high risk of erosion and landslides. And
 these homes would be in an area prone to wildfires. With the severe drought this region
 faces, we need trees and habitat more than ever to maintain a healthy ecosystem. Please
 deny this development!
-
137. Name: kate mellors on 2016-03-02 19:44:54
 Comments:
-
138. Name: Peter Thompson on 2016-03-02 19:56:11
 Comments:
-
139. Name: Marie Harper on 2016-03-02 20:01:11
 Comments:
-
140. Name: Denise Burchard on 2016-03-02 20:09:36
 Comments:
-
141. Name: Rick on 2016-03-02 20:16:06
 Comments:
-
142. Name: Nancy Shires on 2016-03-02 20:33:26
 Comments:
-
143. Name: Joy walters on 2016-03-02 20:59:36

Comments: We do not need anymore developments on the mountainside. As a residence on the foothills, I've seen the wild animals struggle to coexist in the developed area.

144. Name: Karen Hirsch on 2016-03-02 21:02:37

Comments: This is a precious and useful resource to many communities. The development proposed is startling in its inappropriatenes.

145. Name: Jo Kenney on 2016-03-02 21:31:32

Comments: Hiked through Millard Canyon to Echo Mountain. Wonderful, natural space. Needs to be protected!

146. Name: Diane Shires on 2016-03-02 21:33:09

Comments:

147. Name: Steve on 2016-03-02 22:12:19

Comments:

148. Name: Terry Strawn on 2016-03-02 22:14:47

Comments:

149. Name: Monica Krieger on 2016-03-02 22:15:15

Comments:

150. Name: Katherine Landesman on 2016-03-02 23:36:42

Comments:

151. Name: Aaron Brillhart on 2016-03-03 00:27:45

Comments: Thank you

152. Name: Kat Ward on 2016-03-03 00:30:34

Comments:

153. Name: Fionna Panganiban on 2016-03-03 00:37:16

Comments: Save it.

154. Name: Filipe Bessa on 2016-03-03 00:46:53

Comments:

155. Name: Seth Gold on 2016-03-03 01:55:20

Comments:

156. Name: Brigitt Montrs on 2016-03-03 06:24:16

Comments:

-
157. Name: Leslee Koritzke on 2016-03-03 06:41:17
Comments: Preserve our open-spaces!
-
158. Name: Marty Castillo on 2016-03-03 13:01:42
Comments:
-
159. Name: Lori Webster on 2016-03-03 19:18:38
Comments:
-
160. Name: shannon keamey on 2016-03-03 19:28:53
Comments:
-
161. Name: Shirin Parsa on 2016-03-03 19:43:57
Comments: Save our environment!
-
162. Name: marcie on 2016-03-03 21:23:07
Comments:
-
163. Name: Nicolas Husson on 2016-03-03 21:30:10
Comments:
-
164. Name: Lisa Moreno on 2016-03-03 21:32:56
Comments:
-
165. Name: Harold Woodley on 2016-03-03 21:35:29
Comments:
-
166. Name: Shawna Dawson on 2016-03-03 21:37:25
Comments: Let's keep Altadena beautiful.
-
167. Name: Alexandra on 2016-03-03 21:50:33
Comments:
-
168. Name: Jacqueline Silverman on 2016-03-03 21:55:52
Comments:
-
169. Name: Ruben Gomez on 2016-03-03 22:01:21
Comments:
-
170. Name: Michael Brake on 2016-03-03 22:06:49

Comments:

171.	Name: Laura Manning	on 2016-03-03 22:25:04	Comments: We do not need more housing developments in sensitive areas.
172.	Name: Phyllis K Boyajian	on 2016-03-03 22:39:24	Comments:
173.	Name: David C	on 2016-03-03 23:13:58	Comments:
174.	Name: Lee Recchia	on 2016-03-03 23:47:35	Comments: This is not a property, it is a cliff, and not suitable to build on.
175.	Name: Matthew Kirsch	on 2016-03-04 00:09:51	Comments:
176.	Name: Victor Caballero	on 2016-03-04 01:28:00	Comments: There is no way this should be permitted.
177.	Name: Linda Clark	on 2016-03-04 03:23:59	Comments:
178.	Name: Norm Haley	on 2016-03-04 03:42:28	Comments:
179.	Name: Scott Rubel	on 2016-03-04 03:59:18	Comments:
180.	Name: Naomi Dauermann	on 2016-03-04 04:01:17	Comments: Keep upper Altadena free from more development. We need our wild spaces!
181.	Name: Helen Driscoll	on 2016-03-04 04:04:24	Comments:
182.	Name: Bernadette Giglio	on 2016-03-04 05:52:47	Comments:
183.	Name: Laura Brown	on 2016-03-04 06:17:56	Comments:
184.	Name: Susan Foster	on 2016-03-04 06:29:14	

Comments:

185. Name: Jennifer Woodson on 2016-03-04 06:29:40
Comments:

186. Name: Roberto Quintana on 2016-03-04 06:29:45
Comments:

187. Name: Kelly Collins on 2016-03-04 06:56:37
Comments:

188. Name: David Malpee on 2016-03-04 06:59:45
Comments:

189. Name: Scott Collins on 2016-03-04 07:12:32
Comments:

190. Name: Stephanie Clements on 2016-03-04 12:33:22
Comments:

191. Name: Mona K Sperling Conrad on 2016-03-04 14:51:57
Comments:

192. Name: Laura Randall on 2016-03-04 16:31:38
Comments:

193. Name: John Kimble on 2016-03-04 16:32:44
Comments:

194. Name: Jay Nadeau on 2016-03-04 17:37:23
Comments: Keep Millard wild!

195. Name: Christy Moision on 2016-03-04 20:04:35
Comments:

196. Name: Jon Texas on 2016-03-04 22:53:31
Comments: PLEASE PLEASE We do not need more development! Go gentrify another neighborhood dont take away nature!

197. Name: Peter Pfeiffer on 2016-03-05 02:11:12
Comments:

198. Name: Jasmine Traub on 2016-03-05 04:44:49
Comments:
-
199. Name: Shelly Gurzi on 2016-03-06 05:06:08
Comments:
-
200. Name: Nicholas Hummingbird on 2016-03-06 05:10:09
Comments:
-
201. Name: Donald Hodel on 2016-03-06 09:02:06
Comments:
-
202. Name: Yoonhee on 2016-03-06 21:27:25
Comments:
-
203. Name: ann fischer on 2016-03-06 21:56:48
Comments:
-
204. Name: Rachel Gutek on 2016-03-07 03:53:44
Comments: Do the right thing!
-
205. Name: josh romano on 2016-03-07 19:08:26
Comments: enough of this development already!!
-
206. Name: Rex Mayreis on 2016-03-08 15:41:32
Comments: I would be sorry to lose the beautiful natural landscape in this spot. We have always admired this narrow road when we walk up to the Meadows. It would be like replacing trees and an animal corridor with a wall along this stretch of Canyon Crest.
-
207. Name: Daniel Helman on 2016-03-09 13:02:19
Comments: Planning commissions have a delicate task of balancing various needs and perspectives from the community. Certainly a healthy local economy is a strong need in a city or unincorporated area. Yet, that need must be balanced with other needs. As an avid hiker who moved to Altadena twenty years ago, I urge you to reconsider allowing this project to go forward. To my mind, the cost outweighs the benefit. Please reconsider?
-
208. Name: Jennifer Brita Parker on 2016-03-09 15:20:03
Comments:
-
209. Name: Susan Campisi on 2016-03-11 23:06:45
Comments:
-
210. Name: Elizabeth Creely on 2016-03-13 02:32:39

Comments: Infill, not sprawl! Stop developing our precious woodlands, tidal wetlands, riparian corridors and all other natural and wild spaces!

211. Name: Wendy Talaro on 2016-03-13 04:53:43
Comments:

212. Name: Elizabeth garrison on 2016-03-14 00:20:26
Comments: Leave the land alone

213. Name: TRACY BERGE on 2016-03-14 23:06:48
Comments:

214. Name: Laurie Zamudio Whalen on 2016-03-16 17:18:37
Comments: As a young adult, I hiked & camped at Millard Canyon. Now my son & his Boy Scout Troop are hiking & camping at this same location.

Let's leave the land to our children.

The land is much more valuable as natural open space, than it is as a glorified tract housing subdivision.

215. Name: Elizabeth Saveri on 2016-03-16 17:44:09
Comments:

216. Name: Leslie Clark on 2016-03-16 22:22:07
Comments: Please leave space for animals and plants to live. This world has to accommodate more than just people.

217. Name: cameron butler on 2016-03-18 00:35:34
Comments:

218. Name: Todd Szabo on 2016-03-27 03:24:36
Comments: This house does not belong here especially at the height and size as designed.

219. Name: alejandra sinay on 2016-03-27 15:27:30
Comments:

220. Name: Sarah Gates on 2016-03-28 01:02:22
Comments:

221. Name: Diana Tracy on 2016-03-30 16:16:04
Comments: I Support Wild Life Corridor's....We will Perish as a Species ...if we keep sucking up The wild space around us..Fight Back..Space is the New Oil..and Altedena

Still offers that..The builder need to consider the Old Walmart Space and stop Covering our Planet with Concrete. My Thoughts.

222. Name: victor white on 2016-04-04 18:47:45
Comments: Please don't let them destroy the canyon wall!
